

Bootstrap tuning in ordered model selection

VLADIMIR SPOKOINY¹ & NIKLAS WILLRICH²

Christoph Breunig

CRC 649 "Economic Risk"

Conference in Motzen

July 17th, 2015

¹WIAS Berlin & HSE and IITP RAS, Moscow

²WIAS Berlin

Aim of the Paper

- Model Selection plays a crucial part in the statistics literature and becomes more important in econometrics
- Many of these model selection procedures rely on **specific model assumptions** and involve **use of calibration constants**
- Aim of the paper: Develop a unified approach to the problem of ordered model selection

Main Results

- The authors consider the model

$$Y_i = \Psi_i^\perp \theta^* + \varepsilon_i \quad (1)$$

for a design $n \times p$ design matrix Ψ_i . But also allow for misspecification.

- Estimate θ^* for instance by projection estimation on an m -dimensional space
- How to choose the dimension parameter m ?
 \Rightarrow **SmA-Procedure**: based on family of pairwise tests, each model is tested against all larger ones.
- When noise is unknown: Authors propose sharp bounds for a **bootstrap procedure**

Can we link the method to econometrics?

- Consider the **instrumental variable model**

$$Y = \Psi(X)^\perp \theta^* + U \quad E(U|W) = 0$$

where X is possibly endogenous and a vector of instruments W .

- This yields the reduced form equation

$$Y = E(\Psi(X)^\perp | W) \theta^* + \varepsilon \quad \text{where } \varepsilon = \Psi(X)^\perp \theta^* - E(Y|W) + U$$

It holds $\mathbb{E}(\varepsilon|W) = 0$.

- Even in the ideal case, where the eigenfunctions of the conditional expectation operator are known, eigenvalues have to be estimated.
- Can your approach be generalized to **inverse problems with unknown operators?**